

**Larkin
Hoffman**
ATTORNEYS

Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren Ltd.

1500 Wells Fargo Plaza
7900 Xerxes Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55431-1194

GENERAL: 952-835-3800
FAX: 952-896-3333
WEB: www.larkinhoffman.com

August 2, 2005

Clerk of District Court
Cass County Courthouse
P.O. Box 2806
Fargo, ND 58108-2806

VIA FACSIMILE
701-241-5636


**Re: Sierra Corporate Design, Inc. v. David Ritz and Ed Falk
Court File No. 09-05-C-1660**

Dear Clerk of Court:

Enclosed for filing, please find the Order that we have prepared following the hearing in the above-referenced matter on July 28, 2005. Please note, however, that Ms. Winkis objects to the form of the Order due to a disagreement over some of its language. As I understand her position, Ms. Winkis asserts the injunction was not granted, because parts of it were stipulated to by Mr. Ritz. Our notes reflect that you expressly granted all parts of the motion for an injunction, both the disputed and undisputed portions, and stated on the record that you were granting that motion.

Form issues aside, I believe the substance of the Order as now written comports with how the parties believe you ruled on the motions that were brought on July 28. I trust Ms. Winkis will correct me if I have misstated her position.

Sincerely yours,



Christopher J. Harristhal, for
Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren Ltd.

Enclosure

c: Michael D. Huitink, Esq. (w/enclosure)(via facsimile)
Michelle C. Winkis, Esq. (w/enclosure)(via facsimile)
Mr. Ed Falk (w/enclosure)(via U.S. mail)

IN DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY OF CASS, STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

Sierra Corporate Design, Inc., Plaintiff,	File No. 09-05-C-01660
v.	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
David Ritz and Ed Falk, Defendants.	

The above-captioned matter came on for hearing on July 28, 2005 before the undersigned pursuant to the motion for Temporary Restraining Order of Plaintiff Sierra Corporate Design, Inc.. (“Sierra”). Sierra appeared via its counsel, Timothy M. O’Keeffe, Esq. and Christopher J. Harristhal, Esq. Defendant David Ritz appeared via his counsel, Michael D. Huitink, Esq. and Michelle C. Winkis, Esq.

THE FOLLOWING IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Sierra’s motion for a Preliminary Injunction is GRANTED.
2. Defendant Ritz, and all persons acting in concert with him, are hereby enjoined from directly or indirectly accessing any of Sierra’s computers, websites, servers, networks, or computer systems. Ritz has stipulated to this portion of the injunction without admission of wrongdoing or liability.
3. Defendant Ritz, and all persons acting in concert with him, are hereby enjoined from directly or indirectly publishing, sharing or communicating any information about Sierra’s computers, websites, servers, networks, or computer systems. This injunction includes, but is not limited to, publishing information about Sierra on any website. Ritz has stipulated to this portion of the injunction without admission of wrongdoing or liability.

4. Defendant Ritz shall, within five days of the above hearing, make all hard drives in his possession and any hard drive he has used to access any of Sierra's computers, websites, servers, networks, or computer systems and all media containing Sierra information available for imaging by the plaintiff's computer expert.

5. Information obtained from the aforementioned hard drives may only be shared with plaintiff's expert witnesses, Defendant Ritz and the Court. The information may be used in depositions in this action.

6. Plaintiff and plaintiff's counsel shall not offer, volunteer or provide any of the following information to any law enforcement agency in conjunction with any investigation related to the criminal investigation pending against Ritz.

- a. Ritz's answers and responses to interrogatories and document requests in this suit.
- b. Information contained in the hard drives and media produced by Ritz in this suit.
- c. Ritz's deposition testimony adduced in this suit.

7. Upon receipt of any warrant or subpoena for the production of that information, plaintiff or its counsel shall immediately notify Ritz's legal counsel of that request for information.

8. In the event that plaintiff uncovers information from the above sources referenced in sections 6 (a) through 6 (c) that leads it to conclude that a crime is about to be committed, plaintiff shall first apply to this Court for authorization to report the information and such

application may be heard on an emergency basis.

BY THE COURT:

Date:

Judge of District Court

1024464.1