

IN DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY OF CASS, STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

Sierra Corporate Design, Inc.,)	File No. 09-05-C-01660
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
v.)	
)	
David Ritz and Ed Falk,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

COPY

The above-captioned matter came on for hearing on November 16, 2005 before the undersigned pursuant to Sierra Corporate Design, Inc.'s ("Sierra") motion. Sierra appeared via its counsel, Timothy M. O'Keeffe, Esq. and Christopher J. Harristhal, Esq. Defendant Falk appeared via his counsel, Michelle Donarski. Defendant David Ritz did not appear.


THE FOLLOWING IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Sierra's motion for damages against Defendant Falk is continued pending a resolution of the jurisdictional challenge to be filed by Defendant Falk no later than November 23, 2005.
2. Defendant Falk, and all persons acting in concert with him, are hereby enjoined from directly or indirectly publishing information about Sierra's computers, computer systems and domains. "Indirectly publishing," includes, but is not limited to, posting links on search engines or websites to other sites where information about Sierra's computers, computer systems and domains appears. Cache copies from websites and search engines containing information about Sierra's computers, computer systems and domains and links to sites containing that information must also be removed.
3. Defendant Falk must immediately remove all descriptions of Sierra's computers, computer systems and domains that appear on the following websites or any other site: <http://www.rahul.net/falk/>, <http://www.rahul.net/falk/quickrefs.html> and <http://www.rahul.net/falk/Nz>.
4. Defendant Falk must immediately remove all links from the aforementioned sites, or any other site, to any site publishing information about Sierra's computers, computer systems and domains including, but not limited to Re: What is spamkiller.net? and http://dritz.mako.ath.cx/20050323-newsfeeds_paths.txt.

5. Anyone, or any entity, with notice of this order which republishes any information in violation of paragraph 1 of this order and/or which aids and/or assists either Defendant in violation of this order is also in violation of this Order and may be held in criminal and/or civil contempt.

BY THE COURT:

Date: 12/16/23



John C. Irby
Judge of District Court

COPY